

AO 88 (11/91) Subpoena in a Civil Case

# United States District Court

## DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RLI INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff

V.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

INDIAN RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT, EDIS

COMPANY AND BECKER MORGAN GROUP, INC., CASE NUMBER:1 05-858 JJF

Defendants.

TO: JOE ZIMMER, SR.  
2225 NORTHWOOD DRIVE  
SALISBURY, MD 21801

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY

COURTROOM

DATE AND TIME

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION

DATE AND TIME

SEITZ, VAN OGTROP & GREEN, 222 DELAWARE AVENUE,  
SUITE 1500, WILMINGTON, DE, 19899

MARCH 14, 2007; 11:00 AM

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date and time specified below (list documents or objects):

All documents regarding the construction of the Sussex High School Project, including your entire project file.

PLACE

DATE AND TIME

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES

DATE AND TIME

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b) (6).

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)

DATE

FEBRUARY 15, 2007

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

PERRY F. GOLDLUST, ABER, GOLDLUST, BAKER &amp; OVER

702 KING STREET, SUITE 600, P. O. BOX 1675, WILMINGTON, DE 19899-1675, (302) 472-4900

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C &amp; D on Reverse)

SUBPOENA.USD

<b>PROOF OF SERVICE</b>
-------------------------

	<b>DATE</b>	<b>PLACE</b>
<b>SERVED</b>	2/17/07	2225 NORTHWOOD DR SALISBURY, MD

---

SERVED ON (Print Name)  JOE ZIMMER, SR.	MANNER OF SERVICE  ACCEPTED BY LINDA COOK
---	--


---

SERVED BY (Print Name)  GRANVILLE MORRIS	TITLE  Private Process Server
--	-------------------------------------

<b>DECLARATION OF SERVER</b>
------------------------------

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Delaware that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

**Executed ON:** 2/17/07

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 SIGNATURE OF SERVER  
 BRANDYWINE PROCESS SERVERS, LTD.  
 P. O. BOX 1360  
 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-1360  
 (302) 475 - 2600

Superior Court Civil Rule 45, Parts C, D & E\*

*(c) Protection of Persons subject to Subpoenas*

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing under burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The Court shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the Court. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3)(A) On timely motion, the Court shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

- (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
- (ii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
- (iii) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party,

the Court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the Court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

*(d) Duties in Responding to Subpoena.*

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

*(e) Contempt.*

Failure by any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed contempt of court.